Dear judge, please see below the rubrics that you will complete and submit at the day of the Symposium:

Science & Technology - Oral presentation

Evaluation Form Preview

Dear Judge,

On behalf of the WVU

Office of Undergraduate Research, we would like to thank you for agreeing to judge Poster presentations at the Fall Undergraduate Research Symposium.

Please complete this form after visiting the posters you were assigned to review. Students in this category have up to 15 minutes to present their work/creative effort and 5 minutes to answer to any questions you might have.

Please know that all presenters should receive a copy of judge's comments on their presentations. For this, judge's name and

email address will be omitted.

Please submit one form for each presentation you review.

Category* Please check below the category in which you judged presentations.							
Human Engagement - Oral presentations							
Science & Technology - Oral presentations							
Clear							
Background*							
Was proper background information on the topic given by the presenter?							
Poor Fair Average Good Excellent							

	2	3	4	5	
Hypothesis/ Go				2	
		sented in an orderly			
Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
O	O	O	O	O	
Experimental lo Assess whether th adequate and we	ne approach to	achieving the wor	k's goals/objec	tive was	
Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
0	0	0	0	0	
Results* If results are not presults based on t		ate the ability of the	e student to des	scribe expected	
Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc		
Conclusion and	Future work	*			
Did the main concaddition, did the	clusion of the p	oresentation follow e recommendatior			
Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
1					
Ö	0	0	0	O	
Supporting mat		e presentation app	oropriate to the	topic?	
Supporting mat		e presentation app Average	ropriate to the	topic? Excellent	
Supporting mat	selected for th				
Supporting mat Was the material Poor	selected for th Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Supporting mat Was the material Poor 1 O Knowledge of th	Fair 2 O ne project/ab	Average	Good 4 O	Excellent 5	
Supporting mat Was the material Poor 1 O Knowledge of the Did the presenter	Fair 2 O ne project/ab	Average 3 O ility to answer qu	Good 4 O	Excellent 5	
Supporting material Was the material Poor 1 O Knowledge of the Did the presenter answering them?	Fair 2 O ne project/ab answer the at	Average 3 O ility to answer qu tendee's questions	Good 4 O Destions* and demonstra	Excellent 5 O ate knowledge in	
Supporting material Was the material Poor 1 O Knowledge of the Did the presenter answering them? Poor	Fair 2 O ne project/ab r answer the att	Average 3 ility to answer questions Average	Good 4 O Destions* and demonstrated Good	Excellent 5 Cate knowledge in Excellent	
Supporting mate Was the material Poor 1 O Knowledge of the Did the presenter answering them? Poor 1 O Comments for poor	resenter*	Average 3 ility to answer questions Average 3 O ts about the poster	Good 4 O estions* and demonstrated Good 4 O	Excellent 5 ate knowledge in Excellent 5	
Supporting mate Was the material Poor Comments for poor Please provide ge	resenter*	Average 3 ility to answer questions Average 3 O ts about the poster	Good 4 O estions* and demonstrated Good 4 O	Excellent 5 ate knowledge in Excellent 5	
Supporting mate Was the material Poor 1 O Knowledge of the Did the presenter answering them? Poor 1 O Comments for period period period provide generated by the provide g	resenter* eneral commenture presenta	Average 3 ility to answer questions Average 3 O ts about the poster	Good 4 O Destions* and demonstrations Good 4 O and presentations	Excellent 5 ate knowledge in Excellent 5	

Symposium™ by ForagerOne © 2022

About Contact Us Accessibility Terms of Service Privacy Policy