

Sciences & Technology Oral Rubric

Evaluation Form Preview

Dear Judge,

On behalf of the WVU Office of Undergraduate Research, we would like to thank you for agreeing to serve as a judge for the symposium.

Please complete this form after watching the oral presentations assigned to you for review. Students in this category have up to ten minutes to present their work or creative effort and five minutes to answer any questions from you or the audience.

Please note that all presenters will receive a copy of the judges' comments on their presentations. To maintain confidentiality, judges' names and email addresses will not be shared with students.

Thank you again for your time and support in recognizing and supporting undergraduate research at WVU.

Please submit one form for each presentation you review.

Presenter Attendance

Is the presentation being delivered by an individual or a group?

- Individual presenter
- Group of presenters

Background

Did the presenter provide appropriate background information on the topic?

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Hypothesis/Goals/Purpose

Did the presenter discuss the research question(s), goals, or purpose of the study in an orderly and clear manner?

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Experimental Logic/Research Design

Did the presenter clearly describe the experimental logic or research design, including how the study was structured to address the research question(s)?

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Results

Did the presenter clearly describe the results of the study? If results are not present, evaluate the ability of the student to describe expected results based on their hypothesis.

Comments for Presenter

Please provide general comments about the poster and presentation. Be constructive, for example “the presentation could have been improved by...” or “a better presentation would have...”

Comments for Organizers

These comments will not be shared with the presenters.
