

Biological & Biochemical Sciences Poster Rubric

Evaluation Form

Dear Judge,

On behalf of the WVU Office of Undergraduate Research, we would like to thank you for agreeing to serve as a judge for the symposium.

Please complete this form after reviewing the posters assigned to you for review. Students in this category will have up to five minutes to give a brief overview of their poster and answer any questions you might have.

Please note that all presenters will receive a copy of the judge's comments on their presentations. To ensure confidentiality, the judge's name and email address will not be shared with students.

Thank you again for your time and support in recognizing and supporting undergraduate research at WVU.

Please submit one form for each presentation you review.

Presenter Attendance

Is the presentation being delivered by an individual or a group?

- Individual presenter
- Group of presenters

Presence of Poster and Presenter

Are the poster and presenter in attendance?

- Poster and presenter are in attendance
- Poster is up but presenter was absent
- Poster and presenter were absent

Background

Did the presenter provide appropriate background information on the topic?

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Hypothesis/Goals/Purpose

Did the presenter discuss the research question(s), goals, or purpose of the study in an orderly and clear manner?

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Experimental Logic/Research Design

Did the presenter clearly describe the experimental logic or research design, including how the study was structured to address the research question(s)?

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
-------------	-------------	----------------	----------	------------------	------------------

Results

Did the presenter clearly describe the results of the study? If results are not present, evaluate the ability of the student to describe expected results based on their hypothesis.

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Conclusions and Future Work

Did the main conclusion of the presentation logically follow from the material presented? In addition, did the presenter make thoughtful recommendations for future work in this area?

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Significance to Society

Did the presenter explain the broader relevance or impact of the work beyond the immediate discipline?

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Supporting Material

Was the material selected for the presentation appropriate, relevant, and supportive of the topic?

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Knowledge of the Project/Ability to Answer Questions

Did the presenter effectively answer attendees' questions and demonstrate a strong understanding of the project?

0 (Poor)	1 (Fair)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments for Presenter

Please provide general comments about the poster and presentation. Be constructive, for example “the presentation could have been improved by...” or “a better presentation would have...”

Comments for Organizers

These comments will not be shared with the presenters.
